App F IX-4

Previous References
nil 10-45 (146 147)

1945 nil (ml)

File No 10 45
Serial Nos 231 232

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

rial Nos
- 7W 0

Part B for Appointments

SUBJECT

Promotion of ~~Mr~~ V. Subbachar a literate peon, Municipal Office to Service according to Seniority

L 11744 D 29 4 45

To
The Mil Comm
Bangalore city

States that the proposal for — is not acceptable

6170
L

From the Municipal Town Bangalore city
A6-p-n 84/45-46 dated 26-2-46

Recommends that S Seshachar, who has been working as a Telegraphman on Rs 13-p M- in the Bangalore city Municipality, for the last ten years, be permitted to be taken on Subordinate Service, as he is hard working, trust-worthy, etc and as he has been entrusted with the market gate fee collection

1) The public service Comm will be consulted in the matter

2) The G.O dated 22-1-46, quoted by the Municipal Town is flagged R

M O 230 21/3/46

3) Forwarded with My kind Compliments to the Public Service Commission in Enquiry for favour of Issue in the proposal contained in the above letter. By order

Dy Secretary

Dy

22 MAR 1946

PSC
MS 172/46

GO MS 172/PSC/MS/46 dt 23.3.46

61

wrs NO 172/us-pn dated 1-4-1946

Returned with compliments

4) Twenty out of the 21 denials, permitted under G O No L 7137-9/M1 10-45-147, dated 22nd January 46, to be appointed as Octroi Managers in vacancies remaining unfilled for want of qualified applicants, were qualified upto L L S or K L S In the case under reference, S Seshachar does not possess even that qualification, nor a vacancy in the Superior service has been specified for his appointment in similar circumstances

The proposal involves the transfer of an unqualified person from inferior to superior service, it is not acceptable under the rules

B S Pattaswamy
17/4/46
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONER

5) Submit with a draft reply to the Municipal Comm accordingly for appl

Revised for 17/4/46

Minister for Edn (Hill)

PS to Ex... of ...
Date 17 4 46
No 6426

To The Ol Comm
L 11744 d 27-4-46
Views the proposal

Rl 10-45-231

Bangalore City Municipality.

No A6 P R 84 /45-46 — Municipal Offices

Encl — *Bangalore* 26th Feb. *1946*

From

SAFI DARASHAH, Esq, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY



To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to state that S Seshachar who has been working in this Municipality for the last 10 years is a literate peon drawing Rs 13/- in grade 11-½-15, and has been entrusted with the market gate fee collection work He is reported to be hard working, trustworthy and a willing worker, capable of writing good kannada and doing neat figuring work This is a very deserving case similar to those of the octroi peons who have been directed to be promoted to superior service in Government Order No L 7137-9/ Rl 10-45-147 dated 22-1-46 I therefore request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to grant similar concession to this official also, so that he may be appointed in superior service according to seniority and merit

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

MLO – 45 – 232

To

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

L 11764

827 4

The Municipal Comr

Bangalore city

With reference to your letter no AG p R 84/45-46, 46 dated 26-2-46, recommending that s Seshachar, a Siddi Peon may be taken on Superior Service on the establishment of the Bangalore city Municipality I am directed to observe that out of 21 menials permitted under G.O no L 7137-9/ML 10-45-147, dated 22-1-46 to be absorbed as other Manegars in vacancies remaining unfilled for want of qualified applicants twenty of them were qualified upto E.S.S or K.S.S the case under reference, S. Seshachar does not possess even that qualification, nor a vacancy in

WD 03697—GPB—30 000—2 2 40

the Superior Service ~~in~~ laid down specified for his appointment in similar circumstances

~~As the~~ The proposal involves the transfer of an unqualified person from "inferior to Superior" service and is therefore not acceptable to the Govt

I have the

for Revenue Secy 17/4/

Memo No 1176/Sel 27.4.46

Copy sent to the public service Commn Baroda in reference to their D.O. letter No 192 dated 1-4-46 for information with reference to our D.O. No 1/92 Pers/1

for Revenue Secy